G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
ME 13-82 Vol 1-6 B 9 July 1898.

1897-8
(Municipal)

Later references.
Jul 252-32 1/2
269-33, 1, 3

File No. 10-97

Serial Nos. 1-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 6

Major.
~~Part~~ **B for** June 1898

SUBJECT.

Direct correspondance on Departmental matters between the Superintendent of Town duties, Cantonment Station and Manager, Ochroi department, Bangalore City.

Indexed

No. 5188-216—Mis. 995, dated, Bangalore, 8th November 1897.

Order.—The following compilation of papers *inter* direct correspondence between Darbar Officers and Officers of the British Government is published for information and guidance.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—Heads of Departments.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Revenue Processes.

1. *Letter No.* 280—60, *dated 6th May* 1885 *from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 27, dated 17th October 1884, regarding the mutual direct service of revenue processes by Mysore and Madras Revenue officers, I am directed to forward, for your information, copy of letter No. 263 Mis., dated 18th April 1885, from the Government of Madras, expressing the opinion of His Excellency the Governor in Council that the existing arrangements under which these processes are reciprocally served, may with advantage be continued, and that the local authorities in the Madras Presidency will be instructed accordingly.

Enclosure to the above.

2. *Letter Political No.* 263, *Miscellaneous, dated* 18*th April* 1885, *from the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, to the Resident in Mysore.*

With reference to your letter No. 1490—780, dated 20th October 1884, I am directed to state that the existing arrangements by which money voluntarily paid is received and remitted by the British and Mysore States may with advantage continue, and that notices of demands of arrears should be served by the British District officers at the request of those of Mysore and *vice versa*, no coercive process being applied on either side.

2. As it appears from your letter under reply that this course is accepted by the Government of Mysore, the Madras Board of Revenue has been desired to issue the necessary orders to British Collectors.

No. 1261-9—43, dated 15th May 1885.

Order.—Copy forwarded to Deputy Commissioners of Districts and Sub-Division Officers.

3 *Letter Camp No.* 465, *dated* 13*th June* 1885, *from the Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.*

His docket No. 187 of the 5th instant enquiring with reference to this office Proceedings No. 1261-9—43 of 15th May 1885, if correspondence regarding the reciprocal service of revenue processes may be carried on by District officers in Mysore *direct* with those in Madras.

Has the honor to reply in the affirmative.

Camp No. 466-73, dated 15th June 1885.

Copy forwarded to other Deputy Commissioners and Sub-Division Officers for information and guidance.

4. *Letter No.* 2394—4677, *dated* 29*th July* 1887, *from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your office letters Nos. 1909-167 and 2152-130, dated 8th and 16th July 1887 respectively, regarding the recovery of arrears* of mohatarfa tax due to the Mysore Durbar from certain persons residing in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.

	Rs.	a.	p.
Danjee Subba Row	... 4	8	0
Billan Khan	... 14	7	0
	18	15	0

2. In reply, I am to inform you that the Officiating Resident will be happy to direct any notices of demand received from the Mysore Government to be served on persons residing in the Civil and Military Station, but that the officers of the Station do not seem, in his opinion, to be invested with any powers to enforce payment in such cases. The position seems to be the same in regard to revenue demands falling due in British India from persons residing in Mysore Territory, and this had, on one or two recent occasions, to be explained to Collectors in the Madras Presidency who applied to have payment of such demands enforced in the Mysore State.

3. I am to observe, however, that the amounts at stake in the few cases that occur on either side seem to be so trifling that it appears to Mr. Fitzpatrick hardly worth while to set about an amendment of the existing law.

4. The original papers said to have been forwarded with your office letters cited above, have not been received in this office.

No. 3028-9—Cir. 84, dated 23rd August 1887.

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of Kolar and Hassan for information with reference to their letters Nos. 323—87 of 3rd June and 160 of 9th July 1887 respectively.

---

5. *Letter No.* 3571—4677, *dated* 31*st October* 1887, *from L. White King, Esq.*, C.S., *Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your office letter No. 1426, dated 7th October 1887, applying for the recovery of arrears of revenue due to the Mysore State from persons residing outside the State.

2. In reply, I am to refer you to my letter No. 2394—4677, dated 29th July 1887, and to say that the remarks therein contained apply with equal force to arrears of revenue due to the Durbar by persons residing in British Territory.

---

6. *Letter No.* 2913—7375, *dated* 28*th August* 1888, *from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

With reference to your office letter No. 3705—258, dated 15th August 1888, I am directed to refer you to the correspondence ending with Mr. Martindale's letter No. 280—60, dated 6th May 1885, and to say that direct communication should be maintained between the Collectors in British India and the District officers in Mysore in regard to the service of notices for arrears of revenue.

2. The original enclosures of your office letter under reply are herewith returned.

Criminal Processes.

7. *Proceedings of the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore (Judicial).*

READ.—Letter from the Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District, No. 707—297, dated the 12th August 1881.

No. 4109-7—114, dated Bangalore, 17*th August* 1881.

ORDER THEREON.—The propriety of enforcing Act XIII of 1859 in Mysore is under consideration. Meanwhile, warrants issued from the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, which is assigned and not British Territory, should be treated like warrants in other parts of the Mysore Province.

General, dated 8th November 1897.

8. *Letter No. 50, dated Bangalore, 2nd September 1881, from the Dewan of Mysore, to the Resident in Mysore.*

In forwarding the accompanying copy of Proceedings No. 4100-7—114 of the 17th August 1881, I have the honor to request that such general instructions may be issued as may be considered necessary to facilitate the execution of warrants of the Magistrates in this Province in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore. As the Extradition Rules do not apply to it, there is no reason why the warrants should not be given effect to by the Magistrates themselves without reference to the head office.

---

9. *Letter No. 1409—G.281, dated Bangalore, 5th September 1881, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

With reference to your letter No. 50 of the 2nd instant, I am desired by the Officiating Resident to forward for information copy of a letter this day addressed to the Magistrate of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore in regard to the execution of warrants between Magistrates in the Station and the Mysore Province.

Enclosure to the above.

10. *Letter No. 1407—J. 502, dated Bangalore, 5th September 1881, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.*

In reply to your letter No. 142—14 of the 29th August, I am desired by the Officiating Resident to observe that as the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore is not British but Mysore Territory, processes of criminal courts of the Station and of the Mysore State may be freely exchanged and executed between the Station and the interior of Mysore.

---

11. *Letter No. 104—25, dated Bangalore, 13th April 1883, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

With reference to your Secretary's letter No. 27, dated 9th instant, applying for a warrant under the Extradition Act addressed to the Officer Commanding 15th Regiment, M N. I., Bangalore, for the surrender to the Mysore authorities of one Jamaludin *alias* Abdul Wahab, who is accused of having committed the offence of theft in the Kolar District, I am directed by the Resident to state that as the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, in which the accused person is said to reside, is Mysore Territory and not part of British India, it is inappropriate to apply the Extradition Act in the present case. The proper course seems to be for the District Magistrate of Kolar to issue his own warrant for the arrest of the accused person, and to send it to the District Magistrate of the Civil and Military Station for execution. I am at the same time to invite your attention to this office letter No. 1409—281, dated 5th September 1881, to the late Dewan, stating that processes of criminal courts may be freely exchanged and executed between the Civil and Military Station and the interior of Mysore.

2. The original enclosures of your Secretary's letter are herewith returned.

---

12. *Letter No. 1612—264, dated 10th November 1884, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

I have the honor, by desire of the Resident, to convey to you (in para 8 of this letter) for the information of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja,

the orders of the Government of India regarding the surrender of criminals between the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and the Mysore State.

2. The correspondence which led to these orders being passed may be briefly stated as follows:—

In July 1883 the Government of India, in acknowledging the receipt of a copy of the correspondence which passed between the Resident and the Durbar in the case of one Jamaludin *alias* Abdul Wahab, observed that the procedure in cases of extradition between the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and the State of Mysore appeared to be governed by rules framed in 1881, and requested, if such was the case, that the Foreign Office might be furnished with a copy of the rules in question.

3. In answer to this letter, Mr. Lyall informed the Government of India that no rules on the subject under reference had been passed; but that the question having arisen in 1881, the then Officiating Resident (Mr. Sandford) had expressed the opinion that criminal processes might be freely exchanged and executed between the Civil and Military Station and Mysore, as the former was not British but Mysore Territory. Mr. Lyall added that the Government of His Highness were also of the same opinion, and that by virtue of the orders then passed there was now complete reciprocity in the execution of criminal processes between the Station and Mysore.

4. In reply to the above, the Government of India observed that the orders passed by Mr. Sandford and the Durbar appeared to be based upon an imperfect apprehension of the circumstances of the case. While admitting that the Civil and Military Station was no doubt Mysore Territory in that the ultimate sovereignty over it remained with the Maharaja, the Government of India pointed out that the exclusive management of the tract comprising the Civil and Military Station had been assigned to the British Government and that His Highness had expressly renounced all jurisdiction within it and that consequently it did not by any means stand on the same footing with the districts of the Mysore State in regard to matters of jurisdiction, the Government of India could not therefore accept the theory put forward by Mr. Lyall's predecessor and the Durbar.

5. The Government of India then proceeded to observe that on the one hand the rules issued by the Durbar having never been sanctioned by the Governor General in Council were not expressly binding on British Courts, and that on the other a strict adherence to the provision of the Extradition Act was unnecessary and would probably be inconvenient, and suggested the adoption of a set of rules to meet the requirements of the case.

The Government of India forwarded at the same time for the Resident's consideration a copy of the rules framed to regulate the surrender of criminals between the Cantonment of Secunderabad and the Hyderabad State, and which it was remarked might be made adaptable to the Civil and Military Station.

6. After a very careful conisderation of the rules immediately above referred to, the Resident came to the conclusion that their adoption as between the Civil and Military Station and Mysore was for several reasons undesirable, and in his reply to the Government of India Mr. Lyall stated fully the grounds of his objections to them.

7. It will be unnecessary for the purposes of the present communications to detail the arguments advanced by the Resident in support of his views. It will be sufficient to state that the conclusions arrived at by Mr. Lyall were, first, that a freer procedure might safely be allowed between the Civil and Military Station and the rest of Mysore than between the Cantonment of Secunderabad and the rest of Hyderabad; and secondly, that as the Magistrates of Mysore receive direct and execute all criminal processes of the Magistrates of British India, there was nothing of itself anomalous or inconsistent with the complete jurisdiction of the British Government in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore in the arrangement made by Mr. Sandford and the Dewan. Mr. Lyall asked the Government of India to reconsider the question then before them and recommended that the arrangements made by Mr. Sandford and the Durbar in 1881 for

General, dated 8th November 1897.

the reciprocal recognition and execution of all criminal processes issued respectively by Mysore and Civil and Military Station Magistrates, might be sanctioned by the Governor General in Council.

8. The continuance of these arrangements, I am to say, has now been formally sanctioned by the Governor General-in-Council * * *

---

13. *Letter No.* 5552—155, *dated Bangalore,* 29*th October* 1892, *from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, to the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

I am directed to forward for the consideration and orders of Government the question raised by the District Magistrate of Kolar, in his letter No. 1287—844 of the 22nd instant, copy of which is enclosed, whether distress warrants issued by the Courts in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, can be executed in Mysore, and to state that, in the opinion of the Judges, there ought to be reciprocity of action in the matter; more especially as the Civil and Military Station forms part of the Territories of Mysore. It seems desirable to come to a definite understanding on the point, and to issue a notification so as to remove all doubts.

Enclosure to the above.

14. *Letter No.* 1287—844, *dated Kolar,* 22*nd October* 1892, *from the District Magistrate of Kolar, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, Bangalore.*

I have the honor to state that the Second Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, has forwarded to this Court for execution a warrant to enforce the payment of maintenance by distress and sale of defendant's property under Section 488 of the Criminal Procedure Code, in Criminal Miscellaneous Case No. 101 of 1892 on the file of his Court. I beg to be informed whether distress warrants issued by the authorities in the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, can be executed in Mysore, or whether the instructions contained in your letter No. 1441—173 of the 3rd April 1891, to the address of the Sessions Judge, Nundydrug Division, are also applicable to the case under reference.

An early reply is solicited.

Enclosure to Registrar's letter No. 5892—160, dated 14th November 1892.

15. *Letter No.* 1441—173, *dated Bangalore,* 3*rd April* 1891, *from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, to the Sessions Judge, Nundydrug Division.*

In reply to your letter No. 555—249 of the 14th February last, forwarding copy of a docket from the District Magistrate of Kolar, bringing to notice that the District Magistrate of North Arcot returned, unexecuted, a fine levy warrant, issued in Kolar Sessions Case No. 3 of 1890, I am directed to state that the notification quoted by the District Magistrate does not seem to apply to distress warrants. The Madras High Court, in Proceedings dated 28th July 1879 (see Weir's Digest page 987), held that not only Mysore distress warrants cannot be executed in British India, but similar warrants issued by the authorities in Madras also cannot be executed in Mysore.

2. In para 15 of their letter No. 84 J., dated 25th June 1878, printed at page 21 of the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings for July 1878, the Government of India have expressed themselves very guardedly. They say that "the processes of Mysore Courts would be respected in British India to the extent and in the manner provided for by Act XI of 1872, and by the Civil Procedure Code, the services of summonses being ensured by executive orders." A distress warrant is not referred to in Act XI of 1872.

16. *Letter No.* 13138—*J. F.* 158-92, *dated 27th January* 1893, *from the L of Mysore, to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.*

I have the honor to forward herewith for your consideration, copies of the correspondence specified in the margin, regarding the execution by the Courts in Mysore of fine warrants issued by criminal courts in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and *vice versa.*

1. Letter No. 5552—155, dated 29th October 1892, from the Registrar of the Chief Court, to the Chief Secretary.
2. Letter No. 1287—844, dated 22nd idem, from the District Magistrate of Kolar, to the Registrar, Chief Court.
3. Letter No. 1441—173, dated 3rd April 1891, from the Registrar, Chief Court, to the Sessions Judge, Nundydrug Division, referred to in the above letter.

2. The Durbar concurs in the view taken by the Judges of the Chief Court that it is desirable that there should be a reciprocity of action in the matter. Should you also concur in this opinion, I request that the Government of India may be moved to issue a notification as suggested by the Chief Court.

Letter No. 634—726-85, *dated Bangalore,* 24*th February* 1893, *from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 13138—J. F. 158-92, dated the 27th January 1893, requesting that the Government of India be moved to issue a notification establishing reciprocity of action in the matter of criminal distress warrants between the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and the Mysore State.

2. In reply, I am to invite your attention to this office letter No. 1612-264 and to letter No. 34 from the Mysore Government to this office, dated the 10th and 19th November 1884 respectively, and to inform you that a notification by the Government of India appears to be superfluous, as they have accorded their formal sanction to the arrangements of 1881 under which reciprocity of action in respect of all criminal processes existed between the Civil and Military Station and the Mysore State.

---

18. *Letter No.* 16793—*J. F.* 158-92, *dated* 13*th April* 1893, *from the Chief Secretary, to the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.*

With reference to your letter No. 5552—152, dated the 29th October last, soliciting orders of Government as to whether distress warrants issued by the courts in the Civil and Military Station can be executed in Mysore or not, I am to observe that complete reciprocity exists between the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore and the rest of the Mysore State, as regards the execution of all criminal processes by virtue of the arrangement existing between the Durbar and the British Government since the Rendition of 1881, and that there is no reason to think that the distress warrants in question are other than "criminal processes."

Police.

19. *Letter No. 3782-7347, dated Bangalore, 6th November 1889, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

In continuation of previous correspondence, I am directed to enclose for your information a copy of a letter addressed to the District Superintendent of Police in the Civil and Military Station on the subject of direct correspondence between him and officers of the Mysore State.

2. I am to suggest the expediency of your issuing similar orders to your subordinate officers as regards their conviction registers.

Enclosure to the above.

20. *Letter No. 3781-7347, dated Bangalore, 6th November 1889, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the District Superintendent of Police in the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore.*

In modification of previous instructions on the subject of direct correspondence with officers of the Mysore State, I am directed to state that extracts from conviction registers may in future be forwarded by you direct to the officers concerned.

2. I am to return the sixteen extracts received from your office on the 2nd instant for direct transmission to the addressees.

---

21. *Letter No. 6426-703, dated 18th November 1889, from the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, to the Inspector General of Police in Mysore.*

Forwards for information and guidance and for communication to Police officers the accompanying copy of a letter No. 3782-7347, dated the 6th November 1889, from the Assistant to the Resident, and of its enclosure, with the remark that the procedure laid down in the Resident's instructions to the Civil and Military Station Police will be adhered to in future by the Police of the State.

---

22. *Letter No. 1892-76, dated the 19th May 1891, from the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, to the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.*

I am directed to request that you will be good enough to move the Resident to accord a general permission for direct correspondence in Police matters between the Mysore Police and the Police of the Civil and Military Station.

2. Results in Police work depend much on quickness and promptitude in interchange of information, and the freedom which exists for direct correspondence between the Mysore Police and the Police of adjoining British Collectorates has in no small degree contributed to diminution and sometimes entire suppression of crime on the frontier.

3. Similar freedom in respect of interchange of communications, such as furnishing information of crime, circulating lists of stolen property, and other intelligence for the detection and perhaps even for prevention of crime, between the Civil and Military Station Police and the Mysore Police, should prove equally beneficial, as offences committed in the districts are sometimes traced to bad characters who live within Civil and Military Station limits, and probably also *vice versa*.

4. At present, extracts from conviction registers may alone be exchanged direc

---

23. *Letter No. 1652—734-7, dated Bangalore, 1st June 1891, from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 1892-76, dated 19th May 1891; in which you ask that a general permission for direct correspondence in Police matters between Mysore Police and Police of Civil and Military Station may be accorded.

2. In reply, I am to inform you that the Resident regrets he is unable to accord the sanction to any general permission, as it is opposed to the rules and custom in use under the Government of India, but he is prepared to grant permission for direct correspondence in matters such as those referred to in paragraph 3 of your letter, namely:—

(i) furnishing information of crime,
(ii) circulating lists of stolen property,
(iii) intelligence of an urgent nature for detection and prosecution of crime.

No. 2568—280, dated 10th June 1891.

ORDER THEREON.—Communicated to the Inspector General of Police f formation and guidance.

Railways.

24. *Letter No.* 1901—130, *dated* 21*st November* 1887, *from the Inspector General of Forests and Plantations in Mysore, to the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

Referring to your docket No. 4431-40—Cir. 110, of the 12th instant, forwarding copy of a resolution by the Bombay Government No. 2238 of the 8th ultimo, and requesting that all communications addressed to the officers of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company may be sent to your office for transmission to the addressees through the Resident and the Bombay Government, I have the honor to state that if intercommunications between the officers of the Durbar and the Southern Mahratta Railway officers be interdicted, serious inconvenience and delay will probably arise, particularly in cases of fuel supply, payments for the same, or the sleepers for which bills are made out monthly and sent to the Superintendent, Way and Works, for acceptance, and generally in all petty matters connected with accounts, &c., which the local officers of the Company and the Durbar officers may settle between themselves. Under the new arrangement I presume all letters addressed by District and Forest officers to the officers of the Company have to pass first through my office, thence yours, and lastly through the Resident's office, which procedure would simply increase correspondence and cause unavoidable delay.

25. *Letter Camp No.* 1894, *dated* 25*th November* 1887, *from the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore, to the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.*

I am directed to forward, for such action as you may deem necessary to take, copy of letter No. 1901-130, dated 20th November 1887, from the Inspector General of Forests and Plantations, representing the inconvenience which would probably arise in interdicting direct communications with the officers of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company, and to add that in the opinion of the Dewan, considerable delay might occur in adhering to the procedure laid down, and that as a modification, he would suggest that all routine correspondence, such as are enumerated by Mr. Ricketts, might be conducted as heretofore

26. *Letter No.* 563-65-67—*En. 1, dated* 16*th February* 1888 *from Major D. Robertson, Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

With reference to your office letter Camp No. 1894, dated the 25th November 1887, I am directed to forward for the information of the Durbar a copy of Resolution No. 229, dated the 31st January 1888, of the Government of Bombay in the Public Works Department, modifying the orders contained in Resolution No. 2238, dated the 8th October 1887, in so far as to admit of direct correspondence between the officers of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company and those under the Durbar on points of detail clearly included within some outline already distinctly traced and approved by the Bombay Government.

Enclosure to the above.

Southern Mahratta Railway, Mysore Railway.—Direct official communication between the officers of the Mysore State and of the Railway.

No. 229 OF 1888, P. W. DEPARTMENT, RAILWAY.

BOMBAY CASTLE, 31*st January* 1888.

READ AGAIN:—

Government resolution No. 2238, dated 8th October 1887

General, dated 8th November 1897.

Memorandum No. 210, dated 11th January 1888, from the Political Dept.

Transfers letter No. 4039-6-67, dated 16th December 1857, from the Resident in Mysore, and enclosures, enquiring whether it may be presumed that the procedure prescribed in the above quoted resolution, prohibiting direct official communication between the Mysore State and the Southern Mahratta Railway officers, is not to be adopted in matters of mere routine.

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated 23rd January 1888.

Submits letter No. 69, dated 14th January 1888, and accompaniments, from the Agent, Southern Mahratta Railway Company, on the same subject.

RESOLUTION.—The Governor in Council is pleased to modify the orders contained in Bombay Government Resolution No. 2238, dated 8th October 1887, regarding direct official communication between the officers of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company and those under the Mysore Government, in so far as to admit of direct correspondence on points of detail clearly included within some outline already distinctly traced and approved by Government. The Agent, Southern Mahratta Railway Company, should submit proposals showing the extent to which he considers such correspondence may be permitted.

Camp No. 2577-9, dated 19th February.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of the Bangalore, Tumkur, and Chitaldrug Districts for information.

---

27. *Letter No.* 1382-6567, *dated Bangalore,* 24*th April* 1888, *from the Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

In continuation of my letter No. 563-6567, dated 16th February 1888, I am directed to forward for the information of the Durbar a copy of the resolution of the Government of Bombay in the Public Works Department, No. 724, dated the 7th instant, authorizing direct correspondence between Durbar officials and the officers of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company of and above the rank of a Sub-Divisional Officer, on routine matters, such as the supply of fuel, &c.

S. M. Railway, Mysore Railway.—Direct official communication between officers of the Mysore State and of the Railway.

No. 724 OF 1888, P. W. DEPARTMENT, RAILWAY.

BOMBAY CASTLE, *7th April* 1888.

READ AGAIN:—Government Resolution No. 229 of 31st January 1888; om the Consulting Engineer for Railways, No. 2099, dated 26th March 1888. ubmits the following letter No. 5254-2095, of the 16th idem, from the Agent id Chief Engineer, Southern Mahratta Railway Company—

With reference to Government Resolution No. 229, dated 31st January 1888, I have the honor to inform you I see no reason why the Company's officers should not correspond with the Mysore State officials on matters of routine affecting the Company's business, in the same manner as the Southern Mahratta Railway officers communicate direct with the officials of the British Government on like subjects.

"2. The Agent is nominally the sole channel of communication between the Company's officers and Government, but in practice on this and other Guaranteed Railways the Company's officers communicate with Government officials in regard to such questions as may arise in connection with fuel supplies, land, and the ordinary business of the railways as carriers, &c., the Agent dealing with the Consulting Engineer on questions relating to policy, projects, sanctions, and such subjects as require the approval or sanction of the Secretary of State; and the same procedure with regard to the railway might very advantageously be adop

"RESOLUTION.—The Governor in Council is pleased to approve of the proposals of the Agent and Chief Engineer, Southern Mahratta Railway Company. Direct official correspondence should, however, be confined to officers of the Southern Mahratta Railway Company and no one under the rank of a Sub-Divisional Officer, *i.e.*, an Assistant Engineer or other officer holding analogous rank, should be permitted to correspond on any matter with Mysore Government officials."

No. 866-73—Cir. 23, dated 9th May 1888.

Copies of the foregoing are forwarded to Deputy Commissioners of Districts for information and guidance.

Other Correspondence.

28. *Extract from Letter No. 272-15, dated Bangalore, 7th May 1883, from the Assistant Resident in Mysore, to the President, Municipal Commission, Civil and Military Station.*

* * *

It has been arranged with the Durbar that official correspondence shall hereafter be carried on between the officers of the Civil and Military Station and of the Mysore State through the Resident on one side and the Dewan on the other. This rule will in future be strictly observed by all officers in the Civil and Military Station.

* * * *

---

29. *Extract from Proceedings No. 1078-9—5, dated 6th June 1883.*

*

4. The attention of all officers of the Mysore Government drawn to the arrangement entered into between the Durbar and the Resident and referred to in the concluding paragraph of the Assistant Resident's letter above read as regards official correspondence between the officers of the Mysore Governmet, and of the Civil and Military Station.

---

30. *Extract from Residency Letter No. 836-133, dated 11th July 1884.*

* * *

6. The Resident has no objection whatever to make to any of the arrangements made or to the conditions specified in Secretary to Mysore Government Public Works Department Letter No. 3436 of 20th November last; but he wishes to point out that, in Bangalore as elsewhere, it has always been the rule for the Military authorities to communicate with the Durbar through the Resident, and that in a matter like this of an important and permanent character in which orders of the Government of India were carried out to completion, it was clearly necessary that this course should have been followed. The Durbar will also recollect that only some three months before this correspondence began, Sir James Gordon, with reference to the difference between the District Magistrate, Civil and Military Station, and the Secretary to the Mysore Government Public Works Department, on the subject of the Miller's tank channels, passed orders strictly requiring all correspondence other than simple routine between officers of the Civil and Military Station and the Durbar to pass through the Resident's office. It is believed that you also issued similar instructions to officers of the Mysore Government. Mr. Lyall is therefore surprised that the necessity was not perceived in this case.

---

31. *Extract from Residency Letter No. 1776, dated 20th May 1895.*

* *

3. I am to observe that a perusal of the papers relating to this subject shows that direct correspondence has taken place between the President of the

General, dated 8th November 1897.

Municipal Commission and officers of the Mysore Government. This procedure is opposed to the established custom and has resulted in unnecessary delay. In this connection attention is invited to this office letter No. 836—133, dated the 11th July 1884.

* * *

---

32. *Extract from Residency Letter No. 4061, dated 12th October 1895.*

* * *

3. I am to add that the present case serves as an illustration of the delay and inconvenience caused by direct correspondence between the Durbar and the Military authorities. The Resident trusts that the requests made in this office letters marginally noted will be carefully complied with.

No. 1776, dated 20th May 1895, and No. 2093, dated 11th June 1895.

---

33. *Letter No. 4781, dated Bangalore, 2nd December 1895, from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

The General Officer Commanding the Bangalore District has represented that much inconvenience has been experienced by Military officers not being allowed to correspond direct with the Durbar officers on such subjects as rice certificates and other minor details connected with recruiting for regiments, and he has asked the Resident whether in such small matters which require prompt settlement, direct correspondence may not be permitted.

2. Direct correspondence on the subject of rice certificates would be unobjectionable, but opinions are liable to differ as to whether certain matters are "of minor detail" or not, and considerable inconvenience has been experienced in this office by direct correspondence having been carried on on a subject which, though at the outset seemingly trivial, afterwards assumed a greater or less degree of importance. Mr. Mackworth Young is however of opinion that it is quite possible to make certain exceptions to the procedure referred to in para 3 of this office letter No. 4061 of the 12th October last, and is inclined, after consulting the local Military authorities, to allow direct correspondence on the following subjects :—

(1) Supplies for troops at field training.
(2) Relative to recruiting parties in districts.
(3) Relative to claimants for family pension.
(4) Rice certificates.

3. I am to enquire whether the Durbar sees any objection to the proposal

---

34. *Letter No. 6483—Pol. 187, dated 4th December 1895, from the General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.*

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 4781, dated 2nd instant, and in reply to state that the Durbar have no objection to the British Military authorities corresponding direct with the officers of the Mysore State in matters indicated in para 2 of your letter under reply.

Official Memo No. 7614-21—Mis. 1100, dated, Bangalore, 8th January 1896.

The foregoing copies are forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts for information and guidance.

35. *Letter No.* 723, *dated 2nd March* 1896, *from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

With reference to your letter No. 6483—Pol. 187, dated the 4th December 1895, I am directed to state that the Resident has no objection to the correspondence on the following subjects being carried on direct between the Military authorities and the officials of the Mysore State :—

(1) Supplies for troops at field training.
(2) Relative to recruiting parties in districts.
(3) Relative to claimants for family pension.
(4) Rice certificates.

---

36. *Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, General, No. 10-17 D. C., dated Bangalore, 11th August 1897.*

CIRCULAR ORDER.—Deputy Commissioners of Districts should invariably submit to Government immediate information regarding the occurrence of assaults upon camp followers of British troops, or of other offences of a similar nature, with details as to attendant circumstances, etc. The progress of the action taken in connection therewith should also be reported from time to time for the information of Government.

2. Direct correspondence in such matters with the British Military authorities is opposed to standing orders and should not be carried on.

---

37. *Letter No.* 3631, *dated 2nd September* 1897, *from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

The General Officer Commanding the Bangalore District has submitted for the consideration of the Resident, a proposal to allow direct correspondence between the officers of the Mysore State and the Military authorities on the following subjects with a view to curtail correspondence without

(*a*) Commissariat and Transport Resource Reports.
(*b*) Extracts from long rolls of deserters.
(*c*) Hiring of Mysore Government Plantations and of City Municipal lands for hay growing purposes.
(*d*) Complaints in connection with kavals as regards trespass encroachments, cattle-pound authorities, &c.
(*e*) Supplies to troops marching on permanent transfer.
(*f*) Price lists.

2. Colonel Robertson is of opinion that the proposal, to add *a*, *b*, *c* and *f*, to the subjects enumerated in my letter No. 723, dated the 2nd March 1896, seems to be unobjectionable, but that it is advisable that correspondence on *c* and *d* should pass through this office.

3. I am to ask you to favor the Resident with your views on the subject at your early convenience.

---

38. *Letter No.* 3290—*P. F.* 17-96, *dated 10th September* 1897, *from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, to the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.*

In reply to your letter No. 3631, dated the 2nd instant, I am directed to state that the Durbar have no objection to the British Military authorities corresponding direct with the officers of the Mysore State on the subjects marked (*a*), (*b*), (*c*) and (*f*) in the first para of that communication. The Durbar agree with the Resident in thinking that it is advisable that correspondence relating to (*c*) and (*d*) should pass through the Residency and this office.

General, dated 8th November 1897.

39. *Letter No.* 4508, *dated 29th October* 1897, *from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore, to the Dewan of Mysore.*

With reference to your letter No. 3290—P. F. 17-96, dated the 10th ultimo, I am directed to state that, after consulting His Excellency the Lieutenant General Commanding the Forces, Madras, the Resident has no objection to the addition of the following subjects to those in respect of which direct correspondence has been permitted in this office letter No. 723 of the 2nd March 1896 between the Military authorities and the officials of the Mysore State—

(1) Commissariat and Transport Resource Reports.
(2) Extracts from Long Rolls of Deserters.
(3) Supplies to troops marching on permanent transfer.
(4) Price lists.

2. In connection with questions relating to the leasing of Mysore Government Plantations and of City Municipal lands for hay growing purposes and to complaints concerning kavals as regards trespass encroachments, cattle-pound authorities, etc., His Excellency the Lieutenant General concurs with Colonel Robertson that correspondence on these matters should pass through this office and that of the Dewan of Mysore.

---

10. *Proceedings of the Government of Mysore (General).*

READ:—

Letter No. 3631, dated 2nd September 1897, from the First Assistant to the Resident in Mysore.
Letter No. 3290—P. F. 17-96, dated 10th September 1897, to do do.
Letter No. 4508, dated 29th October 1897, from do do.

No. 5326-35—Mis. 1035, DATED, BANGALORE, 11TH NOVEMBER 1897.

ORDER.—The foregoing correspondence will be communicated to the Deputy nmissioners of Districts, the Conservator of Forests and the President, ngalore City Municipality, for information and guidance.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

1897-98

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

ENERAL

PROCEEDI

Dated 8th November 1897

No $\frac{5188\text{-}2}{\text{Mis } 9}$

Publishing papers regarding direct correspondence between Durbar Officers and Officers of the British Government.

Memo

Orders are solicited on two points in Presidency etc 1020 of 18/11/19,

(1st) Direct correspondence between the Octroi Manager of City municipality and the Octroi Supt of the C & M Station. The references on the subject are put up. Please see front Pro etc 916/19 dated 26 May 1883 passed on a similar representation made by then President, Major MacIntire

(2nd) Permission to the Octroi manager on Rs 50 + 15 p.m. to make occasional visits to outstations, such as Krishtnarayapuram, Yesvanthpur, Kengeri, Chennapatna, Tumkur, and his horse allowance being treated as a fixed travelling allowance & not as an allowance for keep of horse. It is a question for consideration whether an officer of a municipality, whose limits are defined, be permitted to go beyond his limits for the purpose of checking smuggling of Octroi articles into the municipality limits; or whether the time that may be occupied in visiting the outlying villages may not be more usefully spent by making more frequent

recently appointed

visits to the several outposts and thereby guard against smuggling.

(2) The proposal to convert the house allowance to a consolidated travelling allowance would, it is submitted, have the effect of the house, if any, now maintained by the Octroi Manager, being dispensed with so as to reduce the number of posts held by him to the several Sheds or outskirts & thereby open wider door for smuggling at these posts Submitted

Dy.J 30/11/97

(3) In resubmitting this for orders, it is submitted that the Municipality recently passed a resolution abolishing the appointment of the Octroi Manager. May the President be therefore asked to report whether (the necessity for direct correspondence proposed in his communication has not ceased to exist)

Dy.J 13/4/98

4) The above order having been communicated to the President, he now states that no orders are necessary regarding the allowance to the Octroi Manager, but that it is necessary that correspondence should pass between the of Town duties & all Stations and the Officer of the Octroi Dept Bangalore Cty The

Accordingly the matter has been referred to the Octroi Manager of the 15th Nov 97

Dy.J 14/4/98

The President adds that in some cases immediate information has to be given from one Department to another concerning smuggling &c. and therefore requests that sanction may be obtained for the above system of direct correspondence

Submitted for order

JRJ 6/15/98

Subd to Secy.

The only point now requiring decision is whether direct correspondence may be permitted between the Officer-in-charge of the Detroit Dept, Bay City Municipality and the Supt of Town Duties at the Station, as proposed by the Municipal President.

BR.
6/15/98

The President
Refer to the correspondence
that took place in 1893
and tell him what is
the still wants that
an arbitration should
be made & the President
has changed his so good
as to submit a
detailed report
showing how it is
what prevents the
Pro-tection

attended meeting of Council
- adjourned - 6

11-5-98

Draft.
BBR
11/5/98

on file
DMJ 12/5/98

The above order having been communicated to the President, he now reports that no orders are required in the matter of direct correspondence

[illegible] this, be recorded.

[illegible] BBR
27/5/98

DMJ 26/5

draft and 27/5/98

4247 19/11/97

17-11-97

2nd From

10-97 The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

To "The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore

Dated

Despatched 15" Genl & Rev Dept

Received Bangalore

General No. 1042 Nov/97

No.

Enclosure No.

Soliciting that permission may be accorded for direct correspondence on Departmental matters between the Superintendent of Town Duties, Cr. Ll. Station & Manager, Octroi Dept, City and also to treat the present house allowance now given to the Octroi Manager as fixed travelling allowance.

Yesterday 14th inst. Rs 32/-

LO

B.Br:-

Original order no 916 dt 19

6-5-83 with its connected papers are in sup. Namdarpa

Dis. 19/11/97

18/11/97

18/11/97

But the [illegible] 6[illegible]

question in [illegible] 14/7

is not on file. Please [illegible]

Please report to [illegible]

[illegible]

and

Please [illegible]

[illegible]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
City Bangalore, 15 Nov / 1897

No. 10 L

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for Consideration that in view of facilitating the despatch of business in matters of detail connected with the Octroi Department, it is necessary that the City Octroi Manager should be in touch with the Superintendent of Town Duties in the Civil and Military Station by permitting Correspondence to pass direct between the two. In many instances it may be desirable that prompt joint action should

be taken by both the subordinates named, when smuggling is carried or when information has to be carried from one to the other for action. At present direct correspondence is forbidden between these subordinate officers under Government Proceedings No. 916/19 dated 26th May '83. I beg, therefore, that permission may be obtained and accorded for direct correspondence on departmental matters between the Superintendent of Town Duties Civil and Military Station and Manager, Octroi Department City.

2.— Further I beg to lay before Government the desirability of allowing the Manager, City Octroi Department, to make occasional visits

-to outstations, such as Krishnarajapur Yeswanthapur, Kengeri, Channapatna, Tumkur &c on detective duty. By experience we find that smuggling is systematically carried on in those places where regular stocks of exciable articles are kept - the owners of such being in collusion with others in the City who carry on a contraband trade. By obtaining information as to possession of stocks from outside places plans and arrangements can be made how to trap the contraband runners. These visits to such places by the Manager will be of much benefit and will not entail any extra expenses, as I propose that the

2/

allowance of Rs 15/ now given &
the Manager should be with
permission of Government treated
as a fixed travelling allowance
and not as an allowance for
½ / of horse.

I have the honor &c
Sir
Your most obt servant.

T. Fernandez

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,
BANGALORE, CITY.

1898

The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

To

The President of the Bangalore
City Municipality

Dated 26-4-98

Despatched

Received R L 1980

General No. 14008

L. F. 171

Enclosure No.

His No 102 of 15th Novr 97
Soliciting that ~~permission~~ ~~may be accorded for~~ ~~holding~~ direct
Correspondence ~~being held~~ on departmental
matters ~~being held~~ between the Supdt of
Town Duties C & M station and
Manager Octroi Dept, City
and (2) the ~~present~~ horse allowance now given to
the Manager may be ~~raised~~ to
as fixed travelling allowance

has the honor to
request that he will
be good enough to report
on the merits of the Resolution whether any Orders are
recently passed by the
Board re the Revision of
the Octroi Establishment

Now required on the two points
raised in the above

By order

16/4/98

Secretary

Org 11/4/98

Revd
25.4.98.

14

L 7

3.5.98

L 26

1897-8

From

The President,

Bangalore City Municipality.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated 30th Genl & Rev: Dept.

Despatched 7/8 Bangalore

Received April 98

General No. 228

No.

Enclosure No.

His Docket No. 14008/10-93 dated 28th Instant.

In reply to the above has the honor to state that under the terms of the Resolution passed by the Board re abolishing of Octroi Mana[illegible] Past no orders are necessary regarding the allowance but the undersigned Considers it necessary

that correspondence should pass between the Superintendent of Town Duties, Civil and Military Station and the officer in charge of the Octroi Department, Bangalore City for the present in view to facilitate work. In some cases immediate information has to be given from one Department to another Concerning Smuggling &c. Hence sanction may kindly be obtained for the above &c

T. Leonard

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL CORPORATION,

BANGALORE, CITY.

Noted &

To

The President Bangalore
City Municipality

Ref letter no 228 dy 30th April
1898

With reference to the above, I have the honor to refer the President to the correspondence that took place in 1883 in the matter of direct correspondence between the Officers of the Bangalore Cantonment and to state that if he still thinks that an application should be made to the Resident on the matter, he will be so good as to submit a detailed report

R/24v
14943 dy 16/5/98
L:-G:

ending with this office
No 976/19 dy 26/5/1883

~~† copies enclosed~~

From the Same
No 8 dy 20.5.35 L2567

showing how and in what
respects the prohibition to
against direct correspondence is
attended with inconvenience.

"By order"

BR.
1875

for Secretary

Orig 12/17/98

Clk
12-5-98

1897

10352
23-5-98.

From The President,
Bangalore City Municipality.

To The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Dated 20th Genl & Rev: Dept
Despatched Bangalore
Received May 98
General No. 2145
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that no
orders are required
in the matter of the
correspondence
between the Subor-
dinates of the City and
Civil & Military Station
Municipalities

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No. 245 — City Bangalore, 20th May 1898.

From

The President, Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departt
Bangalore

SIR,

(2110) Referring to your Docket No 14963
2740-9.
of the 16th Instant, I have the honor
to state that no orders are required
in the matter of direct correspondence
between the subordinates of the City
and Civil and Military Stations —
Municipalities.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servant

T. T. Isaac

PRESIDENT MUNICIPAL COMMISSION
BANGALORE, CITY.

Encl

Read -

Letter No. 245 d/20/5/98

from the President of the

Bangalore City Municipality

forwarding, with reference to

his office docket No 14943
L740-93

, 16th May 1898, that no orders

are required in the matter

of direct correspondence

between the Subordinates of the

City and the Civil and

Military Station Municipality

L2525

Order thereon No 15730
L7138-97

93/6/98

Recorded

L740-93

B.D.
28/5/98

For Secretary,
28/5/98

To The Dt Bangalore City.